PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

anslation internation	PATENT COOPERATION TO PCT	CEATY		
and internation	ONAL PRELIMINARY EXAM	NATION REPORT		
-	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 8438 I WO Z RSF-RU		tification of Transmittal of Internation Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/4		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year			
PCT/EP2003/007434	09 July 2003 (09.07.2003)	11 July 2002 (11.07.2002)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no F16H 63/08	ational classification and IPC			
Applicant	ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG			
This report is also accompanies been amended and are the backer (see Rule 70.16 and Section	5 sheets, including this cov	ription, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority		
3. This report contains indications relat I Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishment	•	ve step and industrial applicability		
Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to povelty, inventive step or industrial applicability				
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the international application			
VIII Certain observation	ns on the international application	. ,		
Date of submission of the demand 20 December 2003 (20.1)	Date of completion 2.2003)	on of this report 5 October 2004 (25.10.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	т		
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.			

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP2003/007434

I. Basis of the report									
1. This rep under Art	ort has been drawn ticle 14 are referred to	on the basis of in this report	f (Replacement sheens "originally filed"	ts which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):					
	the internationa	l application a	s originally filed.						
\boxtimes	the description,	pages	1-40	_, as originally filed,					
		pages		_, filed with the demand,					
		pages		_, filed with the letter of,					
		pages							
\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos.	1-42	_ , as originally filed,					
				, as amended under Article 19,					
		Nos		_, filed with the demand,					
		Nos.	 	_ , filed with the letter of,					
				, filed with the letter of					
\boxtimes	the drawings,			, as originally filed,					
		sheets/fig _		, filed with the demand,					
		sheets/fig _		, filed with the letter of,					
				, filed with the letter of					
2. The amen	dments have resulte								
	the description,	pages							
	7								
	- , 1			•					
3. Thi to g	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).								
			a moroatou in the	ouppromental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
4. Additional	l observations, if ne	cessary:							
				•					
				ļ					
				í					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07434

YES

NO

1-42

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims

Claims

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	12-22,24,25,28,30-33,41	YES
		Claims	1-11,23,26,27,29,34-40,42	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	12-22,24,25,28,30-33	YES
		Claims	41	NO

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Prior art cited in the search report:

D1: DE 101 33 695 A (LUK LAMELLEN & KUPPLUNGSBAU) 7 March 2002

D2: DE 101 19 748 A (LUK LAMELLEN & KUPPLUNGSBAU) 31 October 2001, mentioned in the application

D3: DE 22 62 892 A (KLOECKNER HUMBOLDT DEUTZ AG) 27 June 1974

D4: DE 35 27 390 A (KLAUE HERMANN) 5 February 1987

2.1 Independent claim 1

Claim 1 is so broadly worded that documents D1 and D2 cited in the search report can be deemed prejudicial to novelty - e.g. document D1 discloses, in accordance with the features of claim 1, a

- gear shift device for a multi-step gearbox, in particular for a motor vehicle, wherein two non-successive gear ratios (I, III, V, R, II, IV, VI) are associated with at least one gear shift pack (16, 17, 30, 31) in the gearbox, a gear shift lever (570) is provided for actuating the gear shift packs, the gear shift lever is associated with a gear shift pattern in which the gear

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

shift positions of each two successive gears are situated substantially opposite one another in gear shift gates (see figures 32a, 32b), and wherein the gears that can be selected within a gear shift gate are associated with different gear shift packs.

The features relating to the lubricant supply as per claim 1 are immediately apparent from the self-explanatory figures 1, 22, 24a, 32a, 32b and the corresponding description of D1.

The present application does not therefore satisfy the criterion of PCT Article 33(2) because the subject matter of the claim is not novel over the prior art as defined in the Regulations (PCT Rule 64.1 - 64.3).

2.2 Claims 2-42 which are dependent on claim 1

Dependent claims 2-42, whose subject matter is further embodiments of the invention according to claim 1, likewise do not meet the PCT requirements since they are not dependent on a claim which does satisfy these requirements. In addition, the features of the subsequently listed claims appear, at least per se, to be known from the documents indicated; they therefore do not comprise any essential features which might in any way substantiate novelty or inventive step:

- claims 2, 3, 4, 5: document D1: see figure 23, element 430
- claims 6, 7: document D1; see figure 32a
- claim 8: document D1; see figures 20, 32a
- claim 10: document D1; see figure 23, element 430
- claim 11: see document D1; see figure 23, element 462
- claim 23: document D1; see figure 33, column 59, line 52

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07434

to column 60, line 31

- claim 26: document D1; see figure 32
- claims 29, 30: document D1; see figures 25, 33, 34
- claims 34-40, 42: document D1; see figures 25, 33, 34.

The features of dependent claim 41 have already been used for the same purpose in document D3 - cf. figure 1. The subject matter of claim 41 does not therefore involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Dependent claims 9 and 25 do not meet the requirements of EPC Article 6, since the feature of the gear shift means (9) is unclear because it is supported neither by the description nor the figures.

Further comments:

The description of document D1 does not indicate document D1 or briefly outline the relevant prior art contained therein; the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii) are therefore not met.